SOME ASPECTS OF DIRAC-HARMONIC MAPS WITH CURVATURE TERM

VOLKER BRANDING

Abstract. We study several geometric and analytic aspects of Dirac-harmonic maps with curvature term from closed Riemannian surfaces.

arXiv:1412.4705v1 [math.DG] 15 Dec 2014

1. Introduction and Results

Dirac-harmonic maps arise as critical points of part of the nonlinear σ-model studied in quantum field theory [12]. They form a pair of a map from a Riemann surface to a Riemannian manifold and a vector spinor. The equations for Dirac-harmonic maps couple the harmonic map equation to spinor fields. As limiting cases both harmonic maps and harmonic spinors can be obtained. Moreover, Dirac-harmonic maps belong to the class of conformally invariant variational problems and thus have a lot of nice properties.

Many important results for Dirac-harmonic maps have already been established. This includes several analytical results [11], [23], [14], [26] and an existence result for uncoupled solutions [2]. The boundary value problem for Dirac-harmonic maps is discussed in [10]. A heat-flow approach to Dirac-harmonic maps was studied recently in [4], [7].

However, the full nonlinear supersymmetric σ-model in physics contains additional terms, which are not captured by the analysis of Dirac-harmonic maps, see [15], [8] for the physical background. Taking into account and additional two-form in the action functional the resulting equations were studied in [6], Dirac-harmonic maps to target spaces with torsion are analyzed in [5].

In this article we focus on Dirac-harmonic maps coupled to a curvature term, which were introduced in [9]. This set of equations also has an interesting limit. In the case of the map part being trivial it reduces to a nonlinear Dirac equation, which was studied in [13] and [22]. Moreover, it should be noted that this equation also appears in the context of the spinorial representation of surfaces in $\mathbb{R}^3$ [16] and the Thirring model in quantum field theory [21].

In the general case Dirac-harmonic maps with curvature term are more complicated then Dirac-harmonic maps since they consist of a pair of two non-linear equations.

The aim of this article is to establish some basic results for Dirac-harmonic maps with curvature term, in particular the regularity of weak solutions.

This article is organized as follows. In Section 2 we recall the notion of Dirac-harmonic maps with curvature term. Section 3 discusses geometric and Section 4 analytical properties of Dirac-harmonic maps with curvature term.

2. Dirac-harmonic maps with curvature term

Let us now describe the setup in more detail. For a map $\phi\colon M \to N$ we study its differential $d\phi \in \Gamma(T^*M \otimes \phi^{-1}TN)$, integrating the square of its norm leads to the usual harmonic energy. We assume that (M, h) is a closed Riemannian spin surface with spinor bundle ΣM, for more details about spin geometry see the book [18]. Moreover, let (N, g) be another closed Riemannian manifold. Together with the pullback bundle $\phi^{-1}TN$ we consider the twisted bundle $\Sigma M \otimes \phi^{-1}TN$. The induced connection on this bundle will be denoted by $\tilde{\nabla}$. Sections $\psi \in \Gamma(\Sigma M \otimes \phi^{-1}TN)$ in this bundle are called *vector spinors* and the natural operator acting on them is

Date: December 16, 2014.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 53C27, 58E20, 35J61.

Key words and phrases. Dirac-harmonic map with curvature term, regularity, vanishing theorem.

the twisted Dirac operator, denoted by $\not{D}$. It is an elliptic, first order operator, which is self-adjoint with respect to the L^2-norm. More precisely, the twisted Dirac operator is given by $\not{D} = e_\alpha \cdot \tilde{\nabla}_{e_\alpha}$, where $\{e_\alpha\}$ is an orthonormal basis of TM and $\cdot$ denotes Clifford multiplication. We are using the Einstein summation convention, that is we sum over repeated indices. Clifford multiplication is skew-symmetric, namely

$$\langle \chi, X \cdot \xi \rangle_{\Sigma M} = -\langle X \cdot \chi, \xi \rangle_{\Sigma M}$$

for all $\chi, \xi \in \Gamma(\Sigma M)$ and all $X \in TM$. In addition, the Clifford relations

$$X \cdot Y + Y \cdot X = -2h(X, Y)$$

hold for all $X, Y \in TM$.
We use Greek letters for indices on M and Latin letters for indices on N. In terms of local coordinates y^i the vector spinor ψ can be written as $\psi = \psi^i \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial y^i}$, and thus the twisted Dirac operator $\not{D}$ is locally given by

$$\not{D}\psi = \left(\not{\partial}\psi^i + \Gamma^i_{jk} \nabla \phi^j \cdot \psi^k\right) \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial y^i}.$$

Here, $\not{\partial}\colon \Gamma(\Sigma M) \to \Gamma(\Sigma M)$ denotes the usual Dirac operator. Throughout this article we study the functional

$$E_c(\phi, \psi) = \frac{1}{2} \int_M |d\phi|^2 + \langle \psi, \not{D}\psi \rangle - \frac{1}{6} \langle R^N(\psi, \psi)\psi, \psi \rangle. \tag{2.1}$$

The factor of $1/6$ in front of the curvature term is required by supersymmetry. Here, the indices are contracted as

$$\langle R^N(\psi, \psi)\psi, \psi \rangle = R_{ijkl} \langle \psi^i, \psi^k \rangle \langle \psi^j, \psi^l \rangle,$$

winch ensures that the functional is real valued. We briefly recall the derivation of the critical points, see [9], Section II.

Proposition 2.1. *The critical points of the energy functional (2.1) are given by*

$$\tau(\phi) = \mathcal{R}(\phi, \psi) - \tilde{\mathcal{R}}(\psi), \tag{2.2}$$

$$\not{D}\psi = \frac{1}{3} R^N(\psi, \psi)\psi, \tag{2.3}$$

where $\tau(\phi)$ is the tension field of the map ϕ and the curvature terms $\mathcal{R}(\phi, \psi)$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}(\psi)$ are explicitly given by

$$\mathcal{R}(\phi, \psi) = \frac{1}{2} R^N(\psi, e_\alpha \cdot \psi) d\phi(e_\alpha),$$

$$\tilde{\mathcal{R}}(\psi) = \frac{1}{12} \langle (\nabla R^N)^\sharp(\psi, \psi)\psi, \psi \rangle.$$

Here, R^N denotes the curvature tensor on N and $\sharp\colon \phi^{-1}T^*N \to \phi^{-1}TN$ represents the musical isomorphism.

Proof. Consider a variation of (ϕ, ψ), that is $\phi_t\colon (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \times M \to N$ and $\psi_t\colon (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \times M \to \Sigma M \otimes \phi_t^{-1}TN$ satisfying $(\frac{\partial \phi_t}{\partial t}, \frac{\tilde{\nabla}\psi_t}{\partial t})\big|_{t=0} = (\eta, \xi)$. Here, $\eta \in \Gamma(\phi^{-1}TN)$ and $\xi \in \Gamma(\Sigma M \otimes \phi^{-1}TN)$. It is well known that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\big|_{t=0} \frac{1}{2} \int_M |d\phi_t|^2 = -\int_M \langle \tau(\phi), \eta \rangle.$$

The variation of the twisted Dirac-energy was already calculated in [12], Prop.2.1, and yields

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\big|_{t=0} \frac{1}{2} \int_M \langle \psi_t, \not{D}\psi_t \rangle = \int_M \langle \eta, \mathcal{R}(\phi, \psi) \rangle + \langle \not{D}\psi, \xi \rangle.$$

Finally, we calculate the variation of the curvature term

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\big|_{t=0} \frac{1}{12} \int_M \langle R^N(\psi_t, \psi_t)\psi_t, \psi_t \rangle = \frac{1}{12} \int_M \langle (\nabla_{d\phi_t(\partial_t)} R^N)(\psi_t, \psi_t)\psi_t, \psi_t \rangle\big|_{t=0} + \frac{4}{12} \int_M \langle R^N(\psi, \psi)\psi, \xi \rangle.$$

Concerning the first term on the right hand side, we calculate

$$\langle(\nabla_{d\phi_t(\partial_t)}R^N)(\psi_t,\psi_t)\psi_t,\psi_t\rangle_{\Sigma M\otimes\phi_t^{-1}TN}\big|_{t=0} = \big\langle\langle(\nabla R^N)(\psi_t,\psi_t)\psi_t,\psi_t\rangle_{\Sigma M\otimes\phi_t^{-1}TN},\frac{\partial\phi_t}{\partial t}\big\rangle_{\phi_t^{-1}TN}\big|_{t=0}$$
$$:= \langle\tilde{R}(\psi),\eta\rangle_{\phi^{-1}TN}$$

and adding up the three terms completes the proof. □

In terms of local coordinates the Euler Lagrange equations acquire the form:

$$\tau^m(\phi) = \frac{1}{2}R^m_{lij}\langle\psi^i,\nabla\phi^l\cdot\psi^j\rangle - \frac{1}{12}g^{mp}(\nabla_pR_{ijkl})\langle\psi^i,\psi^k\rangle\langle\psi^j,\psi^l\rangle, \tag{2.4}$$

$$(\not{D}\psi)^m = \frac{1}{3}R^m_{jkl}\langle\psi^j,\psi^l\rangle\psi^k. \tag{2.5}$$

Solutions of the system (2.4), (2.5) are called *Dirac-harmonic maps with curvature term.*
It would be nice to have some explicit solutions of the Euler-Lagrange equations. We cannot give an example for a two-dimensional domain, however in the one-dimensional case we have:

Example 2.2. Let $M = S^1$ and $\gamma\colon S^1 \to N$ be a curve. Clifford multiplication on S^1 is given by multiplication with the imaginary unit i. On S^1 there exist two spin structures, for the trivial spin structure spinors can be identified with periodic function, whereas for the non-trivial spin structure spinors are represented by anti-periodic functions. Then it can be checked by a direct calculation that a solution of (2.4), (2.5) is given by

$$\tau(\gamma) = 0, \qquad \psi = i\chi\otimes\gamma'. \tag{2.6}$$

Here, χ is a constant spinor and $'$ denotes differentiation with respect to the curve parameter. This solution is uncoupled in the sense that all terms in the Euler-Lagrange equations vanish independently. Moreover, a constant spinor only exists for the trivial spin structure.

3. Geometric Aspects of Dirac-harmonic Maps with curvature term

In this section we discuss geometric aspects of Dirac-harmonic maps with curvature term from surfaces.

Lemma 3.1. *For a two dimensional domain the functional $E_c(\phi,\psi)$ is conformally invariant.*

Proof. It is well-known that all the three terms in $E_c(\phi,\psi)$ are invariant under conformal transformations. For a proof the reader may take a look at Lemma 3.1 in [12]. □

The energy-momentum tensor for the functional $E_c(\phi,\psi)$ is given by

$$T_{\alpha\beta} = 2\langle d\phi(e_\alpha),d\phi(e_\beta)\rangle - h_{\alpha\beta}|d\phi|^2 + \langle\psi,e_\alpha\cdot\tilde{\nabla}_{e_\beta}\psi\rangle - \frac{1}{6}h_{\alpha\beta}\langle R^N(\psi,\psi)\psi,\psi\rangle. \tag{3.1}$$

It can be read of from the definition that $T_{\alpha\beta}$ is traceless, when (ϕ,ψ) is a Dirac-harmonic map with curvature term. In order to see that the energy momentum tensor is symmetric, we note that

$$T_{12} - T_{21} = \langle\psi,e_1\cdot\tilde{\nabla}_{e_2}\psi - e_2\cdot\tilde{\nabla}_{e_1}\psi\rangle = -\frac{1}{3}\langle\psi,e_2\cdot e_1\cdot R^N(\psi,\psi)\psi\rangle,$$

where we used that ψ is a solution of (2.3). Moreover, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{R_{ijkl}\langle\psi^i,\psi^k\rangle\langle\psi^j,e_1\cdot e_2\cdot\psi^l\rangle} &= R_{ijkl}\langle\psi^k,\psi^i\rangle\langle e_1\cdot e_2\cdot\psi^l,\psi^j\rangle\\ &= -R_{klij}\langle\psi^k,\psi^i\rangle\langle\psi^l,e_1\cdot e_2\cdot\psi^j\rangle\\ &= -R_{ijkl}\langle\psi^i,\psi^k\rangle\langle\psi^j,e_1\cdot e_2\cdot\psi^l\rangle.\end{aligned}$$

The expression $T_{12}-T_{21}$ is both purely real and purely imaginary and thus vanishes. Moreover, a direct calculation gives the following

Proposition 3.2. *Let (ϕ,ψ) be a Dirac-harmonic map with curvature term. Then the energy momentum tensor is covariantly conserved, that is*

$$\nabla_{e_\alpha}T_{\alpha\beta} = 0.$$

Proof. We set

$$C_{\alpha\beta} := 2\langle d\phi(e_\alpha), d\phi(e_\beta)\rangle - h_{\alpha\beta}|d\phi|^2,\ D_{\alpha\beta} := \langle \psi, e_\alpha \cdot \tilde{\nabla}_{e_\beta}\psi\rangle,\ E_{\alpha\beta} := -\frac{1}{6}h_{\alpha\beta}\langle R^N(\psi,\psi)\psi,\psi\rangle.$$

By a direct calculation, we find

$$\nabla_{e_\alpha} C_{\alpha\beta} = 2\langle \tau(\phi), d\phi(e_\beta)\rangle = 2\langle \mathcal{R}(\phi,\psi), d\phi(e_\beta)\rangle - 2\langle \tilde{\mathcal{R}}(\psi), d\phi(e_\beta)\rangle.$$

Again, calculating directly, we get

$$\nabla_{e_\alpha} D_{\alpha\beta} = \langle \tilde{\nabla}_{e_\alpha}\psi, e_\alpha \cdot \tilde{\nabla}_{e_\beta}\psi\rangle + \langle \psi, \not{D}\tilde{\nabla}_{e_\beta}\psi\rangle = -\langle \not{D}\psi, \tilde{\nabla}_{e_\beta}\psi\rangle + \langle \psi, \not{D}\tilde{\nabla}_{e_\beta}\psi\rangle.$$

On the other hand, we find

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \psi, \not{D}\tilde{\nabla}_{e_\beta}\psi\rangle =& \langle \psi, \tilde{\nabla}_{e_\beta}\not{D}\psi\rangle + \underbrace{\langle \psi, e_\alpha \cdot R^{\Sigma M}(e_\alpha, e_\beta)\psi\rangle}_{=\frac{1}{2}\langle \psi, \mathrm{Ric}(e_\beta)\cdot\psi\rangle = 0} + \langle \psi, e_\alpha \cdot R^N(d\phi(e_\alpha), d\phi(e_\beta))\psi\rangle \\ =& \langle \psi, \tilde{\nabla}_{e_\beta}\not{D}\psi\rangle - 2\langle \mathcal{R}(\phi,\psi), d\phi(e_\beta)\rangle.\end{aligned}$$

Finally, we calculate

$$\nabla_{e_\alpha} E_{\alpha\beta} = -\nabla_{e_\alpha}\frac{1}{6}h_{\alpha\beta}\langle R^N(\psi,\psi)\psi,\psi\rangle = -\frac{1}{2}\nabla_{e_\beta}\langle \psi, \not{D}\psi\rangle = -\frac{1}{2}\langle \tilde{\nabla}_{e_\beta}\psi, \not{D}\psi\rangle - \frac{1}{2}\langle \psi, \tilde{\nabla}_{e_\beta}\not{D}\psi\rangle.$$

Adding up the terms, we find

$$\nabla_{e_\alpha} T_{\alpha\beta} = -2\langle \tilde{\mathcal{R}}(\psi), d\phi(e_\beta)\rangle + \frac{1}{2}\langle \psi, \tilde{\nabla}_{e_\beta}\not{D}\psi\rangle - \frac{3}{2}\langle \not{D}\psi, \tilde{\nabla}_{e_\beta}\psi\rangle.$$

Using the equation Euler Lagrange equation for ψ again, we may deduce

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \psi, \tilde{\nabla}_{e_\beta}\not{D}\psi\rangle &= \frac{1}{3}\langle \psi, \tilde{\nabla}_{e_\beta}(R^N(\psi,\psi)\psi)\rangle \\ &= 4\langle \tilde{\mathcal{R}}(\psi), d\phi(e_\beta)\rangle + \langle R^N(\psi,\psi)\psi, \tilde{\nabla}_{e_\beta}\psi\rangle \\ &= 4\langle \tilde{\mathcal{R}}(\psi), d\phi(e_\beta)\rangle + 3\langle \not{D}\psi, \tilde{\nabla}_{e_\beta}\psi\rangle\end{aligned}$$

and this proves the assertion. □

On a small domain $\tilde{M}$ of M we choose a local isothermal parameter $z = x + iy$ and set

$$T(z)dz^2 = (|\phi_x|^2 - |\phi_y|^2 - 2i\langle \phi_x, \phi_y\rangle + \langle \psi, \partial_x \cdot \tilde{\nabla}_{\partial_x}\psi\rangle - i\langle \psi, \partial_x \cdot \tilde{\nabla}_{\partial_y}\psi\rangle - \frac{1}{3}\langle R^N(\psi,\psi)\psi,\psi\rangle)dz^2 \tag{3.2}$$

with $\partial_x = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}$ and $\partial_y = \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$.

Proposition 3.3. *The quadratic differential $T(z)dz^2$ is holomorphic.*

Proof. This follows directly from the last Lemma. □

In addition, we also have

Lemma 3.4 (Bochner formula)**.** *Let ψ be a solution of* (2.3)*. Then the following formula holds*

$$\Delta\frac{1}{2}|\psi|^2 = |\tilde{\nabla}\psi|^2 + \frac{R}{2}|\psi|^2 + \frac{1}{2}\langle e_\alpha \cdot e_\beta \cdot R^N(d\phi(e_\alpha), d\phi(e_\beta))\psi, \psi\rangle + \frac{1}{9}|R^N(\psi,\psi)\psi|^2, \tag{3.3}$$

where R denotes the scalar curvature of M.

Proof. Recall the Weitzenböck formula for the twisted Dirac-operator $\not{D}$

$$\not{D}^2\psi = -\tilde{\Delta}\psi + \frac{1}{4}R\psi + \frac{1}{2}e_\alpha \cdot e_\beta \cdot R^N(d\phi(e_\alpha), d\phi(e_\beta))\psi. \tag{3.4}$$

This formula can be deduced from the general Weitzenböck formula for twisted Dirac operators, see [18], p. 164, Theorem 8.17. Moreover, applying $\not{D}$ to (2.3) we find

$$\not{D}^2\psi = \frac{1}{3}\big(\nabla\big(R^N(\psi,\psi)\psi\big)\big)\cdot\psi + \frac{1}{3}R^N(\psi,\psi)\not{D}\psi$$

yielding

$$-\langle\psi, \not{D}^2\psi\rangle = -\frac{1}{3}\langle\big(\nabla\big(R^N(\psi,\psi)\psi\big)\big)\cdot\psi,\psi\rangle - \frac{1}{9}\langle R^N(\psi,\psi)R^N(\psi,\psi)\psi,\psi\rangle.$$

The first term on the right hand side vanishes since it is both purely imaginary and purely real. The claim then follows by a direct calculation. □

As a next step we study the Euler-Lagrange equations for the case that the target manifold N is isometrically embedded in some $\mathbb{R}^q$ by the Nash embedding theorem. Then, we have that $\phi\colon M \to \mathbb{R}^q$ with $\phi(x) \in N$. The vector spinor ψ becomes a vector of usual spinors $\psi^1, \psi^2, \dots, \psi^q$, more precisely $\psi \in \Gamma(\Sigma M \otimes T\mathbb{R}^q)$. The condition that ψ is along the map ϕ is now encoded as

$$\sum_{i=1}^{q} \nu^i\psi^i = 0 \qquad \text{for any normal vector } \nu \text{ at } \phi(x).$$

Lemma 3.5. *Suppose that $N \subset \mathbb{R}^q$. Then the Euler-Lagrange equations acquire the form*

$$-\Delta\phi = \mathrm{I\!I}(d\phi, d\phi) + P(\mathrm{I\!I}(e_\alpha\cdot\psi, d\phi(e_\alpha)),\psi) - G(\psi), \tag{3.5}$$

$$\not{\partial}\psi = \mathrm{I\!I}(d\phi(e_\alpha), e_\alpha\cdot\psi) + F(\psi,\psi)\psi \tag{3.6}$$

with the terms

$$G(\psi) = \frac{1}{6}\langle\nabla_p\mathrm{I\!I}(\partial_{y^i},\partial_{y^k}),\mathrm{I\!I}(\partial_{y^j},\partial_{y^l})\rangle - \langle\nabla_p\mathrm{I\!I}(\partial_{y^i},\partial_{y^l}),\mathrm{I\!I}(\partial_{y^j},\partial_{y^k})\rangle)\langle\psi^i,\psi^k\rangle\langle\psi^j,\psi^l\rangle,$$

$$F(\psi,\psi)\psi = \frac{1}{3}(P(\mathrm{I\!I}(\partial_{y^k},\partial_{y^j}),\partial_{y^l}) - P(\mathrm{I\!I}(\partial_{y^l},\partial_{y^j}),\partial_{y^k}))\langle\psi^j,\psi^l\rangle\psi^k.$$

Here, $\mathrm{I\!I}$ denotes the second fundamental form of ϕ in $\mathbb{R}^q$ and P the shape operator.

We have written up the terms $F(\psi,\psi)\psi$ and $G(\psi)$ in index notation to highlight the order in which the spinors are contracted.

Proof. We only discuss the terms arising from the curvature term of (2.1). For the other terms see [11], p.64-65. Thus, suppose that $N \subset \mathbb{R}^q$. Combing both Gauss and Weingarten equation, we get for $X, Y, Z, W \in \Gamma(TN)$

$$\begin{aligned}\langle R^N(X,Y)Z,W\rangle =& \langle\mathrm{I\!I}(X,Z),\mathrm{I\!I}(Y,W)\rangle - \langle\mathrm{I\!I}(Y,Z),\mathrm{I\!I}(X,W)\rangle\\ =& \langle P(\mathrm{I\!I}(X,Z),Y),W\rangle - \langle P(\mathrm{I\!I}(Y,Z),X),W\rangle.\end{aligned}$$

Since W is arbitrary we may follow

$$R^N(X,Y)Z = P(\mathrm{I\!I}(X,Z),Y) - P(\mathrm{I\!I}(Y,Z),X).$$

This establishes the equation for ψ. Concerning the equation for ϕ, we make use of the Gauss equation again. Assume that $X, Y, Z, W \in \Gamma(TN)$ are parallel vector fields. By differentiation we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\langle(\nabla R^N)(X,Y)Z,W\rangle =& \langle(\nabla\mathrm{I\!I})(X,Z),\mathrm{I\!I}(Y,W)\rangle + \langle\mathrm{I\!I}(X,Z),(\nabla\mathrm{I\!I})(Y,W)\rangle\\ &- \langle(\nabla\mathrm{I\!I})(Y,Z),\mathrm{I\!I}(X,W)\rangle - \langle\mathrm{I\!I}(Y,Z),(\nabla\mathrm{I\!I})(X,W)\rangle.\end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, contracting the indices, we find

$$\nabla_p R_{ijkl}\langle\psi^i,\psi^k\rangle\langle\psi^j,\psi^l\rangle = 2\langle\nabla_p\mathrm{I\!I}_{ik},\mathrm{I\!I}_{jl}\rangle - \langle\nabla_p\mathrm{I\!I}_{il},\mathrm{I\!I}_{jk}\rangle)\,\mathrm{Re}(\langle\psi^i,\psi^k\rangle\langle\psi^j,\psi^l\rangle),$$

where $\mathrm{I\!I}_{ij} = \mathrm{I\!I}(\partial_{y^i},\partial_{y^j})$. □

Making use of (3.6) we may also follow

Lemma 3.6. *The zero-set of solutions of* (3.6) *is discrete.*

Proof. We can think of (3.6) as an equation of the form $\not{\partial}\psi = V(\psi)$. This is an semielliptic equation of first order. Moreover, V respects the zero section, that is $V(0) = 0$. The claim then follows from the main theorem in [3]. □

4. Analytic Aspects of Dirac-harmonic maps with curvature term

This section is devoted to analytic aspects of Dirac-harmonic maps with curvature term.

4.1. **Regularity of solutions.** To study the regularity of Dirac-harmonic maps with curvature term from closed Riemannian spin surfaces we define

$$\chi(M,N) := \{(\phi,\psi) \in W^{1,2}(M,N) \times W^{1,\frac{4}{3}}(M, \Sigma M \otimes \phi^{-1}TN) \text{ with (3.5) and (3.6) a.e.}\}.$$

Definition 4.1. A pair $(\phi,\psi) \in \chi(M,N)$ is called *weak Dirac-harmonic map with curvature term* from M to N if and only if the pair (ϕ,ψ) solves (3.5), (3.6) in a distributional sense.

First, we improve the regularity of ψ with the help of the tools presented in [22]. To this end we recall the definition of Morrey spaces.

Definition 4.2. Assume that $1 \leq p \leq n, 0 < \lambda \leq n$ and let $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a domain. Then the Morrey space $M^{p,\lambda}(U)$ is defined by

$$M^{p,\lambda}(U) := \big\{ f \in L^p_{loc}(U) \mid |f|_{M^{p,\lambda}(U)} < \infty \big\},$$

where

$$|f|^p_{M^{p,\lambda}(U)} := \sup_{r>0} \big\{ r^{\lambda-n} \int_{D_r} |f|^p \mid D_r \subset U \big\}.$$

Note that for $1 \leq p \leq n$ we have $M^{p,\lambda}(U) \subset L^p(U)$ and $M^{p,n}(U) = L^p(U)$. Moreover, we also recall the Riesz-potential of order 1

$$I_1(f)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{|f(y)|}{|x-y|}, \qquad f\colon \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}.$$

In addition, we want to apply Adam's inequality on Morrey spaces (see [1], Theorem 3.1)

$$|I_1(f)|_{M^{\frac{\lambda q}{\lambda - q},\lambda}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C|f|_{M^{q,\lambda}(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \qquad 1 \leq q \leq \lambda < n. \tag{4.1}$$

We now derive an estimate for the spinor ψ, therefore we set

$$A(\phi,\psi)\psi = \mathrm{I\!I}(e_\alpha \cdot \psi, d\phi(e_\alpha)) + F(\psi,\psi)\psi.$$

In the following $D_r \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ will denote the disc with radius r with $r \leq 1$. The next Lemma follows Lemma 2.2 in [22], we thus do not give all the details, see also [20], Theorem 4.1. Note that we may trivialize the spinor bundle ΣM on the disc D_r by two complex functions.

Proposition 4.3. *Let $D_1 \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ and $\psi \in L^4(D_1, \mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \mathbb{R}^q), A(\phi,\psi) \in L^2(D_1, gl(q) \otimes \mathbb{R}^2)$. Suppose that ψ weakly solves*

$$\not{\partial}\psi = A(\phi,\psi)\psi$$

on the disc D_1. If

$$|A(\phi,\psi)|_{L^2(D_1)} \leq \varepsilon$$

then for any $4 < p < \infty$ the following estimate holds

$$|\psi|_{L^p(D_{\frac{1}{16}})} \leq C|\psi|_{L^4(D_1)}. \tag{4.2}$$

Proof. Applying the usual Dirac operator to the first order equation (3.6) yields

$$-\Delta\psi = \not{\partial}^2\psi = \not{\partial}(A(\phi,\psi)\psi)$$

in a distributional sense, where we applied the Schrödinger-Lichnerowicz formula and used the fact that the disc is flat. We set $V(\phi,\psi) := N[\not{\partial}(A(\phi,\psi)\psi)]$, where N denotes the Newtonian potential and get $|V(\phi,\psi)| \leq I_1[|A(\phi,\psi)\psi|]$. Moreover, we find

$$\begin{aligned} |V(\phi,\psi)|_{L^4(\mathbb{R}^2)} &\leq I_1[|A(\phi,\psi)\psi|]_{L^4(\mathbb{R}^2)} \\ &\leq C|A(\phi,\psi)\psi|_{L^{\frac{4}{3}}(\mathbb{R}^2)} \\ &\leq C|A(\phi,\psi)|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}|\psi|_{L^4(\mathbb{R}^2)}, \end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

where we applied (4.1). This estimate can be localized to the disc with the help of a cutoff function. As a next step, we set $Z(\phi,\psi) := V(\phi,\psi) - \psi$ and thus $\Delta Z(\phi,\psi) = 0$. Using an estimate for harmonic functions (see [17], Lemma 3.3.12) we get

$$\begin{aligned} |Z(\phi,\psi)|_{L^4(D_r)} &\leq r^{\frac{1}{2}} |Z(\phi,\psi)|_{L^4(D_1)} \\ &\leq r^{\frac{1}{2}} (C|A(\phi,\psi)|_{L^2(D_1)} |\psi|_{L^4(D_1)} + |\psi|_{L^4(D_1)}). \end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

Moreover, we may estimate

$$\begin{aligned} |\psi|_{L^4(D_r)} &\leq |V(\phi,\psi)|_{L^4(D_r)} + |Z(\phi,\psi)|_{L^4(D_r)} \\ &\leq C\varepsilon^2 |\psi|_{L^4(D_1)} + Cr^{\frac{1}{2}} |Z(\phi,\psi)|_{L^4(D_1)} \\ &\leq C\varepsilon^2 |\psi|_{L^4(D_1)} + Cr^{\frac{1}{2}} (|\psi|_{L^4(D_1)} + |V(\phi,\psi)|_{L^4(D_1)}) \\ &\leq C(\varepsilon^2 + r^{\frac{1}{2}}) |\psi|_{L^4(D_1)}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

Performing a suitable rescaling we thus find

$$|\psi|_{L^4(D_{\frac{r}{2}})} \leq \theta |\psi|_{L^4(D_r)}$$

for θ chosen appropriately. By iteration this yields

$$|\psi|_{L^4(D_r)} \leq r^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} |\psi|_{L^4(D_1)} \qquad \text{for} \qquad 0 < \alpha < \frac{1}{3}, 0 < r < \frac{1}{4}$$

and we may follow that

$$|\psi|_{M^{4,2-\nu}(D_{\frac{1}{8}})} \leq C|\psi|_{L^4(D_1)}$$

for $\nu \in (0,1)$. At this point we apply Adam's inequality (4.1) again with $q = 4/3$ and $\lambda = 2-\nu$. Repeating the procedure from above we find

$$|\psi|_{M^{\frac{4(2-\nu)}{2-3\nu},2-\nu}(D_{\frac{1}{16}})} \leq C|\psi|_{L^4(D_1)}.$$

Now, we let $\nu \to 2/3$ and may follow

$$|\psi|_{L^p(D_{\frac{1}{16}})} \leq C|\psi|_{L^4(D_1)} \tag{4.6}$$

for any $p > 4$, which completes the proof. For more details see the end of the proof of Lemma 2.2 in [22]. □

Note that $|A(\phi,\psi)|_{L^2} \leq C$ for $(\phi,\psi) \in \chi(M,N)$.
As a second step, we turn to the Euler-Lagrange equation for ϕ. Following [10], p.7, we can rewrite the equation (3.5) as follows

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta\phi^m =& \phi^i_\alpha \phi^j_\alpha \left(\frac{\partial \nu^i_l}{\partial y^j} \nu^m_l - \frac{\partial \nu^m_l}{\partial y^j} \nu^i_l \right) - G^m(\psi) \\ &+ \phi^i_\alpha \langle \psi^k, e_\alpha \cdot \psi^j \rangle \left(\left(\frac{\partial \nu_l}{\partial y^j} \right)^{\top,i} \left(\frac{\partial \nu_l}{\partial y^k} \right)^{\top,m} - \left(\frac{\partial \nu_l}{\partial y^k} \right)^{\top,i} \left(\frac{\partial \nu_l}{\partial y^j} \right)^{\top,m} \right). \end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

Here, $\top$ denotes the projection map $\top\colon \mathbb{R}^q \to T_yN$. Moreover, $\nu_l, l = n+1,\dots,q$ is an orthonormal frame field for the normal bundle $T^\perp N$ and ϕ_α represents the derivative of ϕ with respect to e_α.
Thus, the equation for ϕ can be written in the form

$$-\Delta\phi^m = A^m_i \cdot \nabla\phi^i + G^m(\psi) \qquad \text{with } A^m_i = -A^i{}_m. \tag{4.8}$$

Here,

$$A^m_i = \begin{pmatrix} f^m_i \\ g^m_i \end{pmatrix}, \qquad i,m = 1,2,\dots,q$$

with

$$f^m_i := \left(\frac{\partial \nu^i_l}{\partial y^j} \nu^m_l - \frac{\partial \nu^m_l}{\partial y^j} \nu^i_l \right) \phi^j_x + \langle \psi^k, \partial_x \cdot \psi^j \rangle \left(\left(\frac{\partial \nu_l}{\partial y^j} \right)^{\top,i} \left(\frac{\partial \nu_l}{\partial y^k} \right)^{\top,m} - \left(\frac{\partial \nu_l}{\partial y^k} \right)^{\top,i} \left(\frac{\partial \nu_l}{\partial y^j} \right)^{\top,m} \right)$$

and we get a similar equation for g_i^m. The antisymmetry of A_i^m will be the important point in the following regularity analysis.

Remark 4.4. In the case of a spherical target, one can derive the continuity of the map ϕ by "classical tools". Using the symmetries of the target S^n it is possible to write the equation for ϕ in such a form that one may apply the classical Wente Lemma [24] yielding the continuity of the map ϕ similar to [11], Prop. 2.1.

To improve the regularity of the map ϕ we will use the following Theorem from [19].

Theorem 4.5. *Suppose that $\phi \in W^{1,2}(D_1, \mathbb{R}^q)$ is a weak solution of*

$$-\Delta\phi = \Omega \cdot \nabla\phi + f, \qquad f \in L^p(D_1, \mathbb{R}^q), \tag{4.9}$$

where $\Omega \in L^2(D_1, so(q) \otimes \mathbb{R}^2)$ and $p \in (1,2)$. Then $\phi \in W^{2,p}_{loc}(D_1)$. In particular, if $f = 0$, then $\phi \in W^{2,p}_{loc}$ for all $p \in [1,2)$ and $\phi \in W^{1,q}_{loc}$ for all $q \in [1,\infty)$. Moreover, for $U \subset D_1$, there exist $\eta_0 = \eta_0(p,q) > 0$ and $C = C(p,m,U) < \infty$ such that if $|\Omega|_{L^2(D_1)} \leq \eta_0$, then the following estimate holds

$$|\phi|_{W^{2,p}(U)} \leq C(|f|_{L^p(D_1)} + |\phi|_{L^1(D_1)}). \tag{4.10}$$

Thus, applying Theorem 4.5 to (4.7) we immediately get that $\phi \in W^{2,p}_{loc}$ for $p \in (1,2)$.

Theorem 4.6. *Suppose that (ϕ, ψ) is a weak Dirac-harmonic map with curvature term from a closed Riemannian spin surface to a compact Riemannian manifold. Then the pair (ϕ, ψ) is smooth.*

Proof. This follows from a bootstrap argument using (4.10) and (4.2). Applying Theorem 4.5 to (4.7) with $p = 3/2$ we get that $\phi \in W^{2,\frac{3}{2}}$ and by the Sobolev embedding theorem this yields $d\phi \in L^6$. Now, using (3.5) and the estimate for the spinor (4.2) we have $\phi \in W^{2,2}$ and thus $\phi \in W^{1,p}$ for all $p > 1$. Using elliptic estimates for (3.6) we may deduce that $\psi \in W^{1,p}$ for any $p > 4$ and by Sobolev embedding this yields $\psi \in C^{0,\alpha}$ for some $\alpha \in (0,1)$. By iteration we obtain the smoothness of (ϕ, ψ). □

Remark 4.7. In [25] the authors consider Dirac-harmonic maps coupled to a Ricci-type potential, more precisely, they study the functional

$$E(\phi, \psi) = \frac{1}{2}\int_M |d\phi|^2 + \langle \psi, \not{D}\psi\rangle + \mathrm{Ric}(\phi)(\psi, \psi) \tag{4.11}$$

and perform a regularity analysis of the critical points using the method of moving frames. It turns out, that a weak critical point of this functional with $(\phi, \psi) \in \chi(M, N)$ is smooth. However, the authors also note that their regularity result does not carry over to the potential studied in the case of Dirac-harmonic maps with curvature term.

We close this section by proving two different "vanishing Theorems".

Lemma 4.8. *Assume that N has constant curvature and that pair (ϕ, ψ) is a smooth solution of (3.5) and (3.6) satisfying*

$$\int_M (|d\phi|^2 + |\psi|^4) < \varepsilon_0 \tag{4.12}$$

with ε_0 small enough. Then ϕ is constant and ψ solves $\not{\partial}\psi = F(\psi, \psi)\psi$.

This result is similar to Prop.4.3 from [12].

Proof. Since N has constant curvature the map ϕ now solves

$$-\Delta\phi = \mathrm{I\!I}(d\phi, d\phi) + P(\mathrm{I\!I}(e_\alpha \cdot \psi, d\phi(e_\alpha)), \psi).$$

Thus, we estimate

$$\begin{aligned} |\Delta\phi|_{L^{\frac{4}{3}}} \leq & C\big(\big||d\phi|^2\big|_{L^{\frac{4}{3}}} + \big||d\phi||\psi|^2\big|_{L^{\frac{4}{3}}}\big) \\ \leq & C\big(|d\phi|_{L^2} + |\psi|^2_{L^4}\big)|d\phi|_{W^{1,\frac{4}{3}}} \\ \leq & \varepsilon_0 C|d\phi|_{W^{1,\frac{4}{3}}}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence for ε_0 small enough we may conclude that ϕ is constant. □

Lemma 4.9. *Assume that the pair (ϕ, ψ) is a smooth solution of (3.5) and (3.6) satisfying*

$$\int_M (|d\phi|^2 + |\psi|^4) < \varepsilon_0 \tag{4.13}$$

with ε_0 small enough. Moreover, assume that there do not exist harmonic spinors on M. Then both ϕ and ψ are trivial.

Proof. As a first step we prove that $|\psi|_{L^{\frac{4}{3}}} \leq C|\not{\partial}\psi|_{L^{\frac{4}{3}}}$. By assumption 0 is not in the spectrum of $\not{\partial}$, thus we may estimate

$$|\psi| \leq \frac{1}{|\lambda_1|}|\not{\partial}\psi|,$$

where λ_1 denotes the smallest eigenvalue of the Dirac operator. Taking the $L^{\frac{4}{3}}$ norm then gives the result. This inequality can also be proven differently, see Lemma 4.1 in [13].
Applying elliptic estimates for first order equations ([18], Thm. 5.2) to (3.6) we may estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
|\psi|_{L^4} \leq C|\psi|_{W^{1,\frac{4}{3}}} \leq& C\big(|\not{\partial}\psi|_{L^{\frac{4}{3}}} + |\psi|_{L^{\frac{4}{3}}}\big)\\
\leq& C\big(\big||\psi|^3\big|_{L^{\frac{4}{3}}} + \big||\psi||d\phi|\big|_{L^{\frac{4}{3}}} + |\not{\partial}\psi|_{L^{\frac{4}{3}}}\big)\\
\leq& C\big(|\psi|^3_{L^4} + |\psi|_{L^4}|d\phi|_{L^2}\big)\\
\leq& C|\psi|_{L^4}\big(|\psi|^2_{L^4} + |d\phi|_{L^2}\big)\\
\leq& \varepsilon_0 C|\psi|_{L^4}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, for ε_0 small enough ψ has to vanish. Using $\psi = 0$ and (3.5) we can estimate

$$|\Delta\phi|_{L^{\frac{4}{3}}} \leq C\big||d\phi|^2\big|_{L^{\frac{4}{3}}} \leq C|d\phi|^2_{L^2}|d\phi|_{L^4} \leq C\varepsilon_0|d\phi|_{W^{1,\frac{4}{3}}}$$

and thus ϕ has to be constant, whenever ε_0 is small enough. □

The condition that there are no harmonic spinors on M is satisfied if M has positive Euler characteristic. In general, the existence of harmonic spinors will depend on both metric and chosen spin structure.

References

[1] David R. Adams. A note on Riesz potentials. *Duke Math. J.*, 42(4):765–778, 1975.
[2] Bernd Ammann and Nicolas Ginoux. Dirac-harmonic maps from index theory. *Calculus of Variations and Partial Differential Equations*, pages 1–24, 2012.
[3] Christian Bär. Zero sets of solutions to semilinear elliptic systems of first order. *Invent. Math.*, 138(1):183–202, 1999.
[4] Volker Branding. The evolution equations for regularized Dirac-geodesics. *Preprint*, 2013.
[5] Volker Branding. Dirac-harmonic maps with torsion. *Preprint*, 2014.
[6] Volker Branding. Magnetic Dirac-harmonic maps. *to appear in Analysis and Mathematical Physics*, 2014.
[7] Volker Branding. On the evolution of regularized Dirac-harmonic maps from closed surfaces. *Preprint*, 2014.
[8] Jr. Callan, Curtis G. and Larus Thorlacius. Sigma Models and String Theory. 1989.
[9] Q. Chen, J. Jost, and G. Wang. Liouville theorems for Dirac-harmonic maps. *J. Math. Phys.*, 48(11):113517, 13, 2007.
[10] Q. Chen, J. Jost, G. Wang, and M. Zhu. The boundary value problem for dirac-harmonic maps. *J. of the EMS*, 15(3):997–1031, 2013.
[11] Qun Chen, Jürgen Jost, Jiayu Li, and Guofang Wang. Regularity theorems and energy identities for Dirac-harmonic maps. *Math. Z.*, 251(1):61–84, 2005.
[12] Qun Chen, Jürgen Jost, Jiayu Li, and Guofang Wang. Dirac-harmonic maps. *Math. Z.*, 254(2):409–432, 2006.
[13] Qun Chen, Jürgen Jost, and Guofang Wang. Nonlinear Dirac equations on Riemann surfaces. *Ann. Global Anal. Geom.*, 33(3):253–270, 2008.
[14] Qun Chen, Jürgen Jost, and Guofang Wang. The maximum principle and the dirichlet problem for dirac-harmonic maps. *Calculus of Variations and Partial Differential Equations*, pages 1–30. 10.1007/s00526-012-0512-5.
[15] Daniel S. Freed. *Five lectures on supersymmetry*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1999.
[16] Thomas Friedrich. On the spinor representation of surfaces in Euclidean 3-space. *J. Geom. Phys.*, 28(1-2):143–157, 1998.

[17] Frédéric Hélein. *Harmonic maps, conservation laws and moving frames*, volume 150 of *Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, second edition, 2002. Translated from the 1996 French original, With a foreword by James Eells.
[18] H. Blaine Lawson, Jr. and Marie-Louise Michelsohn. *Spin geometry*, volume 38 of *Princeton Mathematical Series*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1989.
[19] Ben Sharp and Peter Topping. Decay estimates for Rivière's equation, with applications to regularity and compactness. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 365(5):2317–2339, 2013.
[20] Benjamin Sharp and Miaomiao Zhu. Regularity at the free boundary for Dirac-harmonic maps from surfaces. *arXiv:1306.4260*, 2013.
[21] Walter E Thirring. A soluble relativistic field theory. *Annals of Physics*, 3(1):91 – 112, 1958.
[22] Changyou Wang. A remark on nonlinear Dirac equations. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 138(10):3753–3758, 2010.
[23] Changyou Wang and Deliang Xu. Regularity of Dirac-harmonic maps. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN*, (20):3759–3792, 2009.
[24] Henry C. Wente. An existence theorem for surfaces of constant mean curvature. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 26:318–344, 1969.
[25] Deliang Xu and Zhengxiang Chen. Regularity for Dirac-harmonic map with Ricci type spinor potential. *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations*, 46(3-4):571–590, 2013.
[26] Liang Zhao. Energy identities for Dirac-harmonic maps. *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations*, 28(1):121–138, 2007.

TU Wien, Institut für diskrete Mathematik und Geometrie, Wiedner Hauptstrasse 8–10, A-1040 Wien
E-mail address: volker@geometrie.tuwien.ac.at